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Abstract

Background: Tennis elbow is the commonest example of traumatic tendon disease of the elbow; the causes may be due to the repeated stress, which could come from motions in sport or at work. The patients may complain of ache in the area that is present at rest or at night after activity. Different treatments as iontophoresis, ultrasound, post isometric contraction, relaxation and advice concerning in proprioceptive use of the hand extensors muscle.

Aim: to evaluate the most beneficial of the previously mentioned treatments in tennis elbow patients.

Patients and Methods: thirty six patients (25 males and 11 females), most of them are nonathletic (83.3%), their age range from 22-56 years (mean value=35±110), who were clinically diagnosed as tennis elbow by an orthopedian or physiotherapy seniors. They were referred to the physiotherapy unit at Azadi hospital in Duhok city, Kurdistan region, republic of Iraq. The study period was dated from 1st March 2007 to 1st April 2009; the patients were divided into two groups, group A (18 patients) treated with local Dexamethasone Phosphate injection (4mg), group B (18 patients) treated with Dexamethasone Phosphate iontophoresis (4mg), the follow up of the patients was started after the initiation of treatments by one week and monthly continue for 6 months.

Results: Patients in group A and B showed good response to local Dexamethasone Phosphate injection and Dexamethasone Phosphate iontophoresis 94.4% and 88.9% respectively. While 5.6% and 11.1% of the patients did not respond to the same treatment regime in group A and group B and they need surgical interference.

Conclusions: Iontophoresis is not superior to local injection with Dexamethasone, but it is preferable for their characteristics, as being non-invasive, non traumatic, less painful and safe technique.
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الملخص

الخلفية: إصدارة مرفق تنس من الأمثلة الشائعة لإصابات أوتار المرفق. وقد تكون سببها إحدى الحركات الرياضية أو في العمل. المرضى قد يشكلون من الم في المنطقة أثناء الراحة أو في الليل بعد نشاط مكثف. هناك طرق مختلفة للعلاج مثل إدخال الأيونات الدوائية بالتجزئة الكهربائي الأمواج فوق الصوتية. الأخذ بالاعتبار السابق بثراء علاج الأسلاك والمشكلات الحالية، تم استخدام المرضى لعلاج فعال للعوامل القاضية للبدأ.

الهدف: يهدف البحث تقييم فعالية طريقة العلاج الأكثر استعمالاً من طرق العلاج المذكورة سابقاً لمريضي مرفق التنس.

الطريقة إجراء البحث: ست وثلاثون مريضاً (25 ذكر و 11 أنثى)، معظمهم من غير الرياضيين (3.8%). تتراوح أعمارهم بين 22-65 سنة (العمر moyen ± 3.71). تم تشخيصهم سريرياً من قبل أطباء العظام والكسور أو أخصائي العلاج الطبيعي. أُخبِرَوا إلى وحدة العلاج الطبيعي في مستشفى نازدي في مدينة دهوك، إقليم كردستان، جمهورية العراق. فترات الدراية كانت من واحد إرشد 2007 وفترة الأولى من نيسان 2009. تم تقسيم المرضى إلى مجموعتين، مجموعة A (18 مريضا) عُلِجواً بزرق موضعي بمادة ديكسانيثانوز (مُمَّعَّم). أما مجموعة B (18 مريضا) عُلِجواً بتركز ديكسانيثانوز 1/2 (مُمَّعَّم/مل) بطريقة الإدخال الكهربائي للإيونات. وأجريت المتابعة للمريض بعد البدء بالعلاج بواضعة، واحد، ثم بعد 1 شهر وثلاثة أشهر.最合适 وأكثر شهرة على التوالي باستعمال مقياس الألم 0-10 درجة.

النتائج: أظهرت المريض في مجموعتي العلاج اب استجابة جيدة للعلاج بالزرق الموضعي بمادة ديكسانيثانوز والإدخال الكهربائي للإيونات 94.4% و 98.9% على التوالي. ولكن 5.6% و 11.1% من المرضى لم يستجيبوا للفحوص واحتاجوا إلى تداخل جراحي.

الاستنتاجات: لأنفوق طريقة الإدخال الكهربائي للإيونات عن الزرق الموضعي لمادة الديكسانيثانوز، ولكنه تفضيل بسبب خصائصها الإيجابية مثل كونها غير مذينة أو مجردة وبدون ألم وتقلية أمنة.
INTRODUCTION

Tennis elbow is the commonest name for the inflammation of the tendons (Tendonitis) attached to lateral, or outside, of the elbow at the bony bump of the humerus (upper arm bone). The medical term for this bony prominence is called the lateral epicondyle, which is the reason that the condition is also referred to as 'lateral epicondylalgia'. The condition is not limited to tennis players; it may develop as a sequel of local injury as well as to any activity that involve the forearm extensor muscles.

The pain is felt during grasping activities and may be accompanied by a feeling of weakness. Different treatments of tennis elbow are present including local injection of corticosteroids, deep heat therapy, ultrasound, post isometric contraction relaxation and advice concerning in a proprioal use[1,2,3].

The pain of tennis elbow may extend into the forearm and it is exacerbated by actions which stretch the ligamentous attachment of the forearm muscles to the lateral epicondyle.

This can be tested either by placing the patients' arm in the waiter's tip position (i.e. elbow fully extended, forearm pronated and wrist flexed) and then ask the patient to try to extend their fingers against resistance. This will exacerbate the pain at the extensor insertion or by asking the patient to grip tightly with the hand while the elbow is fully extended and then with the elbow partly flexed.

If tennis elbow is present the first maneuver will be painful and the second much less so or not at all. If the problem is severe, the patient may have difficulty in extending the elbow even without clenching the hand[4].

Iontophoresis involves the movement of ions across biological membranes by means of an electric current for therapeutic purposes. The effect of any drug introduced by steroid injection was more effective than extracorporeal shock wave therapy[6].

High recurrence rates have been reported with corticosteroid injection, a common conservative treatment of tennis elbow. In a recent randomized controlled trial, 72% of patients reported recurrence of their condition within 12 months of receiving corticosteroid injection in comparison with 9% with a "wait and see" policy. It has been estimated that 5-10% of patients develop chronic symptoms and eventually underwent surgical intervention[7, 8, 9, 10].

Iontophoresis is likely to be either local on the skin under the active electrode or systemic, because when the drug enters into the tissue fluids, it is disseminated throughout the body tissues. Iontophoresis using corticosteroids is a fairly new method recommended in the treatment of tennis elbow and has become popular owing to the impression of superiority compared with local injection, it is non-invasive, painless and non-traumatic[5].
This study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of Dexamethasone given locally or by iontophoresis in patients living in Duhok city area.

Patient and methods

This study was conducted from 1st March 2007 to 1st April 2009 on thirty six verbally consenting patients, after approval of scientific committee of the department of pharmacy, Duhok Technical Institute, Foundation of Technical Education, Duhok, Iraq. 70.4% of the patients are males (n=25) and 29.6% are females (n=11), most of them are non athletes (83.3%), their age ranged from 22 to 56 (mean ± SD; 35 ± 11), with clinical diagnosis of Tennis Elbow. The exclusive criteria were tennis elbow patients have the disease with out any treatment apart from analgesia for the last three months. Randomly the patients were divided into two groups (A and B) alternatively, 18 patients each. Patients in group A were treated with single local injection of a mixture of [Dexamethasone phosphate (Natural Pharma Holdings Limited- London-UK) 1ml (4mg/ml) with 1ml of xylocain 2% solution] using 2ml disposable syringe, while patients in group B were treated with same preparation by iontophoresis technique using electrical stimulator machine (type Endomed 982).

Pain grading was recorded according to the 0-10 numeric rating scale (0 = no pain, 1-3=mild pain, 4-6= moderate and 7-10= severe pain) before treatment and after one week, one, three and six months. For statistical study by using SPSS program18 (2009), we were used unpaired T-test in order to compare between each degree of pain of both groups and Kruskal Wallis Test (H-Test) to compare within each group the 4th degrees of pain scale and it values after that during the 4 follow up times[13,14].

RESULTS

Insignificant differences (P> 0.05) were found of the unpaired T-test results for comparison between each degree of pain between patients of group A and group B. Table one shows the classification of patients according to pain scale in group A, which include (18 patients), three (16.6%), eight (44.4%) and seven (39 %) of the patients had mild, moderate and severe pain respectively prior to treatment. The follow up study shows the number of patients after one week, one, three and six months of local injection with Dexamethasone and xylocain to the site of the most tenderness (lateral epicondyle) with the advice not to put the extensor muscles of the wrist in action and with massaging the muscles above and below the point of tenderness. Our study shows that only one patient (5.6 %) of the patients in group A, not respond to the treatment and need surgical interference.

Table two shows the classification of patients according to pain scale in group B, which include (18 patients), four (22.2%), six (33.4%) and eight (44.4%) of the patients had mild (grad 1-3), moderate (grade 4-6) and severe (grad 7-10) respectively prior to treatment. The follow up study shows the number of patients after one week, one, three and six months of the treatment with Dexamethasone phosphate and xylocain iontophoresis to the site of the most tenderness (lateral epicondyle) with
the advice not to put the extensor muscles of the wrist in action and with massaging
the muscles above and below the point of tenderness. Our study shows that only two
patients (11.1 %) of the patients in group B not respond to the treatment and need
surgical interference ,while statistical study showed insignificant difference between
both group( P>0.5).

DISCUSSION

The results of the present study postulated that tennis elbow was recorded also
in non-athletic subjects, although our sample is small (36 patients) but it shows that it is
more common among male patients (69.4%) than in female patients (30.6%), and it
occurred more at middle aged patients (35±11) years. the same finding was recorded
previously by Kivi (1983), who found that, 56.8% of the patients were male and the
mean age group was 43 years.

In the present study 17(94.4%) of the patient showed an excellent response to
the local injection versus 16(88.9%) which responded to iontophoresis, i.e no
significant difference between both methods, and this goes with that showed by the
Kivi study (1983) and the result of the Runeson and Haker (2002) which not
encouraging to use corticosteroid iontophoresis in lateral epicondylalgia, however , in
this study we found that 16(88.9%)of the patients had a good response after six month
of treatment with Dexamethasone iontophoresis.

These results indicate that local Dexamethasone injection seems to be superior
to iontophoresis treatment; although the corticosteroid iontophoresis is preferable
because of its safety, non-invasive, less painful and easy technique.
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Table 1: The number and percentages of the patients in group A before and after treatment with single local injection of a mixture of Dexamethasone and xylocain grading according to the degree of pain in follow up study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>Follow up after treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=18</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain scale</td>
<td>No pain (0)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild pain (1,2,3)</td>
<td>3 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate Pain (4,5,6)</td>
<td>8 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sever pain (7,8,9,10)</td>
<td>7 (38.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P>0.86(nonsignificant) of Kruskal Wallis Test( H-Test)

Table 2: The number and percentages of the patients in group A before and after treatment with a mixture of Dexamethasone and xylocain iontophoresis grading according to the degree of pain in follow up study
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### Group B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain scale</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>Follow up after treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pain (0)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (38.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild pain (1,2,3)</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td>3 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Pain (4,5,6)</td>
<td>6 (33.4%)</td>
<td>3 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sever pain (7,8,9,10)</td>
<td>8 (44.4%)</td>
<td>5 (27.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P > 0.87 (nonsignificant) of Kruskal Wallis Test (H-Test)